UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ANILDA RODRIGUES and SARAH

TALBOTT, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

BOSTON COLLEGE,

Defendant.

No. 1:20-cv-11662-NMG

STATUS REPORT, JOINT STIPULATION, AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

Plaintiffs ANILDA RODRIGUES and SARAH TALBOTT ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant BOSTON COLLEGE ("Defendant") (collectively, "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and make a joint application to provide an additional status report on May 13, 2024, based on the following recitals:

WHEREAS, on March 13, 2024, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit decided Dutra, et al v. Trustees of Boston University, No. 23-1385 ("Dutra"), and held that the Section 80 of the Outside Sections of the fiscal year 2024 Massachusetts Budget is not unconstitutional under the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution;

WHEREAS, on March 26, 2024, Appellants in *Dutra* filed a petition for panel rehearing and rehearing *en banc*;

WHEREAS, on April 1, 2024, Plaintiffs in this action filed an amici curiae brief in support of the *Dutra* Appellants' petition for panel rehearing and rehearing *en banc*;

WHEREAS, the Parties have continued to confer since the *Dutra* decision and the status report previously due March 18, 2024, are awaiting a decision from the First Circuit on the petition for panel rehearing and rehearing *en banc*, and agree that in the interest of judicial economy and

conservation of court and party resources, the parties need additional time to meet and confer about a case schedule, and/or continue discussing settlement;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs contend that questions regarding Section 80's interpretation and its constitutionality under the Massachusetts Constitution should be certified to the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court ("SJC"). Mass. S.J.C. Rule 1.03 § 1 (permitting any federal court to certify a question to the Massachusetts SJC if state law "may be determinative" of a case and it appears there is "no controlling precedent in the decisions of" the SJC).

STIPULATION

The Parties hereby stipulate, agree, and make this joint application respectfully requesting to provide an additional status report on May 13, 2024.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: April 15, 2024

/s/ James Francis

James A. Francis, admitted pro hac vice
John Soumilas, admitted pro hac vice
FRANCIS MAILMAN SOUMILAS, P.C.
1600 Market Street, Suite 2510
Philadelphia, PA 19103
T: (215) 735-8600
F: (215) 940-8000
jfrancis@consumerlawfirm.com
jsoumilas@consumerlawfirm.com

Yvette Golan, admitted pro hac vice THE GOLAN FIRM PLLC 2000 M Street, NW, Suite #750-A Washington, D.C. 20036 T: (866) 298-4150 F: (928) 441-8250 ygolan@tgfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

/s/ Anton Metlitsky

Anton Metlitsky, admitted pro hac vice O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 10036 T: (212) 326-2000 F: (212) 326-2061 ametlitsky@omm.com

Jen Cardelús
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
400 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071
T: (213) 430-6000
F: (213) 430-6407
jcardelus@omm.com

Matthew Powers
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111

T: (415) 984-8700 F: (415) 984-8701 mpowers@omm.com

Attorneys for Defendant Boston College

ANTERIA ORDER

The Court, having reviewed the Parties' Joint Stipulation and Application to stay this matter, and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, HEREBY ORDERS AS **FOLLOWS:**

The parties shall file a joint status report on May 13, 2024.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 04/16/2024

U.S. District Judge District of Massachusetts

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on April 15, 2024, he electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

<u>/s/ Anton Metlitsky</u>	
Anton Metlitsky	